

ISSN 2541 - 3090

E-ISSN 2503 - 1430



WINGKO INDUSTRY SUSTAINABILITY IMPROVEMENT STRATEGY USING THE BUSINESS MODEL CANVAS (BMC) METHOD

Khoirul Hidayat, Firman Arief Soejana, M Fuad Fauzul Mu'tamar

Department of Agroindustrial Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Trunojoyo University Jl. Raya Telang, Kamal, Bangkalan, 69162 irul ie@yahoo.co.id

ABSTRACT

Wingko is one of Indonesian Snack from Babat of Lamongan Regency which is produced by UMKM Klapa Muda. Compete makes the production unstable, even decreased. UMKM Klapa Muda must develop rapidly because there are already many competitors selling similar products. This study uses the Business Model Canvas Development that is assisted using the Internal factor Evaluation matrix, External Factor Evaluation, Internal-External, SWOT matrix analysis, and OSPM (Quantitative Strategic Planning Matriks) analysis. For the weighting stage, 5th priority strategies used for market penetration and product development by applying Business Model Canvas (BMC) concept to UMKM Klapa Muda Babat strategy, is 1) Customer Relationship Increasing promote activities with a value of 2,401, 2) Customer Segments establish customer loyalty with a value of 2,386, 3) Value Proposition guarantees production quality and improves products with a value of 2.24, 4) Channels has a relationship with the government with a value of 2,015, 5) Key Resources Development of machine and equipment technology with a value of 1,308.

Keywords: Business Model Canvas, SWOT, Wingko

Article history:

Submit 04 Oktober 2021 Received in from 10 Oktober 2021 Acceted 15 November 2021 Avilable online 20 Desember 2021

> **Published By:** Liscensed by: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

Fakultas Teknologi Industri DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.33536/jiem.v6i3.510 Universitas Muslim Indonesia

Address:

Jl. Urip Sumoharjo Km. 5 (Kampus II UMI) Makassar Sulawesi Selatan.

Email:

Jiem@umi.ac.id

Phone:

+6281341717729 +6281247526640





1. INTRODUCTION

The UMKM Klapa Muda is a small factory that has produced wingko since 1974, and the second generation of its founder currently leads it. UMKM Klapa Muda Klapa can produce up to 1000-1500 bags/day (2005-2015). However, the competition that occurs makes production unstable, even decreasing. Wingko babat comes from the Babat district in Lamongan Regency because the district is the largest production area of wingko babat. Some people say this snack comes from the Chinese nation (Febriatmoko et al., 2015)(Sukrama, 2009). The shelf life of wingko babat is only had three or four days, and the texture will harden and reduce the taste of wingko when it exceeds the storage time (Rudiyanto, 2016).

To improve the sustainability of the UMKM Klapa Muda, requires a suitable new business strategy (Ginanti et al., 2021). According to (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010), many business models are currently the main concepts in product marketing competition. One of the business models is to maintain and improve competitiveness is the Business Model Canvas, which has nine simple and easy to understand models such as 1) Customer Segment, 2) ValueProposition, 3) Channels, 4) C ustomer Relationship, 5) Revenue Streams, 6) Key Resources, 7) Key Activities, 8) Key Partnership, 9) Cost Structure.

Several studies using the BMC method include the Solaris store (Boedianto, 2015), the onion cracker industry (Rukka et al., 2018), and the service industry (Guyana, 2013), industrial agriculture (Dudin et al., 2015), restaurant (Surjogondokusumo, 2016). The advantage of the Business Model Canvas is to increase industrial sustainability and add value to the industry by using a simple and easy method to understand and to gain profit (Hartatik & Baroto, 2017; Tjitradi, 2015).

2. RESEARCH METHODS

The research was conducted at the UMKM Klapa Muda, located on Violate Waqf Street No. 13 RT/RW: 02/01 Sawo Village, Babat District,

Lamongan Regency. The focus of this research is to find out the strategy for increasing the sustainability of the wingko industry in the UMKM Klapa Muda using the Business Model Canvas (BMC) approach (Boedianto, 2015; Dudin et al., 2015; Hong & Fauvel, 2013; Rukka et al., 2018).

2.1 DATA TYPE

2.1.1 Primary Data

The primary data obtained through direct interviews with the owners of UMKM Klapa Muda. The data includes the history of the company's establishment and information needed by researchers to analyze the nine building blocks of the Business Model Canvas.

2.1.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data obtained from exploring theories from other sources, such as the results of previous studies, books, and journals, can be used to support problem-solving in research.

2.2 Data Processing and Analysis Method

This study processes data by identifying internal and external factors to determine the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the UMKM Klapa Muda, after knowing the internal factors and external factors, then matching it using IFE and EFE to get each weight of each factor (David, 2011).

After obtaining the total IFE value and the total EFE value, it can then be used to see the position of the UMKM Klapa Muda in what quadrant is currently using the IE matrix. The IFE and EFE factors are used for the matching stage utilizing a SWOT analysis to get a new strategy proposal.

The SWOT analysis has four strategies formulated, namely SO (Strength and WO (Weakness Opportunities), and Opportunities) strategy, ST strategy (Strength and Treats), and WT strategy (Weakness and Treats) (NOYA et al., 2014; Padhil et al., 2018). After getting a new strategy from the SWOT analysis, the strategic priority selection stage is carried out using the QSPM (Quantitative Strategic Planning Matrix) (Cahyawati, 2017) by taking the five highest priorities used for the proposed improvement of the UMKM Klapa Muda sustainability strategy using the Business Model Canvas which has nine buildings including 1) Customer Segment, 2) Value Proposition, 3) Channels, 4) Customer Relationship, 5) Revenue Streams, 6) Key Resources, 7) Key Activities, 8) Key Partnership, 9) Cost Structure.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The identification carried out the internal and external factors of the UMKM Klapa Muda with results of matching factors previously obtained through a questionnaire filled out by UMKM owners for the internal environment and the Cooperative Service for the external environment. The overall total of the IFE (strengths and weaknesses) with a result of 2,539. And the overall total EFE (opportunities and threats) with a result of 2,606 can be seen in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Analysis Results IFE and EFE

IFE	Weight	Rating	Score	
Strength Indicate	Strength Indicator			
Raw material	0,043	3	0,129	
planning	0,043	3	0,129	
UMKM klapa				
muda production	0,039	3	0,117	
process as	0,037	3	0,117	
needed				
Quality raw	0,044	4	0,176	
materials	-	1	-	
No preservatives	0,044	4	0,176	
No imported raw	0,023	3	0,069	
materials	0,023	,	0,007	
Affordable	0,048	4	0,192	
product prices		1	0,172	
Consumed by all	0,046	4	0,184	
UMKM own	0,046	3	0,138	
capital	0,010		0,130	
Routine				
employee payroll	0,034	3	0,102	
system				
Experienced in	0,043	4	0,172	
making wingko	0,013	1	0,172	
Total Score Strenght 1,455				
Weakness Indicator				
There is no				
written industry	0,026	1	0,026	
history				

Belum adanya struktur O,039 O,078 Organisasi No Organisasi No Organizational O,043 D,086 O,086		1	T	ı
No Organizational No Organizational O,043 2 O,086	Belum adanya			
No organizational structure	struktur	0,039	2	0,078
organizational structure 0,043 2 0,086 Traditional machinery and equipment 0,043 2 0,086 Product defects occur 0,038 2 0,076 Only produce one type of wingko size 0,033 2 0,066 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 1 0,023 Total FE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score	organisasi			
Structure Traditional machinery and equipment Product defects occur O,038 2 0,076	No			
Structure Traditional machinery and equipment Product defects occur O,038 2 0,076	organizational	0,043	2	0,086
Traditional machinery and equipment 0,043 2 0,086 Product defects occur 0,038 2 0,076 Only produce one type of wingko size 0,033 2 0,066 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet Marketing relies on agents 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 2 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect	~	ĺ		,
machinery and equipment 0,043 2 0,086 Product defects occur 0,038 2 0,076 Only produce one type of wingko size 0,033 2 0,066 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet Marketing relies on agents 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total FE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,081 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Product defects occur		0.043	2	0.086
Product defects occur 0,038 2 0,076 Only produce one type of wingko size 0,033 2 0,066 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,081 4 0,324 Positive comm	•	0,013		0,000
occur 0,038 2 0,076 Only produce one type of wingko size 0,033 2 0,066 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total FE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator 1 0,024 The national economy does not affect umkm 0,081 4 0,324 community response Social cultur				
Only produce one type of wingko size Marketing area is still limited Don't have a PIRT license yet Marketing relies on agents Lack of capital owned No financial bookkeeping Late paying agent Small number of employees Low education level No recruitment system Absence of R&D Total Score Weakness Total IFE EFE Weight Weight Positive conomy does not affect umkm Positive Community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development Marketing area is 0,036 2 0,072 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,048 1 0,026 1 0,028 1 0,028 1 0,028 1 0,026 1 0,026 1 0,026 1 0,026 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Opportunity Indicator The national 0,064 economy does not affect umkm Positive community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development		0,038	2	0,076
one type of wingko size 0,033 2 0,066 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response Social culture that increases sales 0,087 3 0,261 Government attention to development 0,090				
wingko size 0,036 2 0,072 Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36		0.022	_	0.077
Marketing area is still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36	7 1	0,033	2	0,066
still limited 0,036 2 0,072 Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36				
Don't have a PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36		0.036	2	0.072
PIRT license yet 0,048 1 0,048 Marketing relies on agents 0,039 3 0,117 Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36 Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36		0,030		0,072
Marketing relies on agents Lack of capital owned No financial bookkeeping Late paying agent Small number of employees Low education level No recruitment system Absence of R&D Total Score Weakness Total IFE EFE Weight Weight Rating Positive conomy does not affect umkm Positive Community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development O,030 O,043 2 O,086 O,023 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,026 1 O,026 1 O,026 1 O,023 1 O,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Conomy does No 1,12 Conomy does No 2,539 Cone Opportunity Indicator The national economy does No 3 O,192 Community Com		0.048	1	0.048
Marketing relies on agents Lack of capital owned No financial bookkeeping Late paying agent Small number of employees Low education level No recruitment system Absence of R&D Total Score Weakness Total IFE EFE Weight Weight Rating Positive Community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development O,030 O,043 2 O,086 D,043 2 O,086 O,043 2 O,086 O,040 3 O,12 O,023 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,028 1 O,026 1 O,026 1 O,026 1 O,027 1 O,027 1 O,028 Core Opportunity Indicator The national condition on the community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development	PIRT license yet	0,040	1	0,040
On agents Lack of capital owned No financial bookkeeping Late paying agent Small number of employees Low education level No recruitment system Absence of R&D Total Score Weakness Total IFE EFE Weight Weight Rating Positive Community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development O,034 2 0,086 0,043 2 0,086 1 0,022 0,06 1 0,028 1 0,028 1 0,028 1 0,026 1 0,026 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive Community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development		0.020	2	0.117
Lack of capital owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36 Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36	0	0,039	3	0,11/
owned 0,043 2 0,086 No financial bookkeeping 0,043 2 0,086 Late paying agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36 Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36			_	
No financial bookkeeping	_	0,043	2	0,086
Dookkeeping				
Late paying agent0,04030,12Small number of employees0,0320,06Low education level0,02810,028No recruitment system0,02610,026Absence of R&D0,02310,023Total Score Weakness1,084Total IFE2,539EFEWeightRatingScoreOpportunity IndicatorThe national economy does not affect umkm0,06430,192Positive community response0,08140,324Social culture that increases sales0,09040,36Government attention to development0,09040,36		0,043	2	0,086
agent 0,040 3 0,12 Small number of employees 0,03 2 0,06 Low education level 0,028 1 0,028 No recruitment system 0,026 1 0,026 Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm 0,064 3 0,192 Positive community response 0,081 4 0,324 Social culture that increases sales 0,090 4 0,36 Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36				
Small number of employees0,0320,06Low education level0,02810,028No recruitment system0,02610,026Absence of R&D0,02310,023Total Score Weakness1,084Total IFE2,539EFEWeightRatingScoreOpportunity IndicatorThe national economy does not affect umkm0,06430,192Positive community response0,08140,324Social culture that increases sales0,09040,36Government attention to development0,09040,36		0,040	3	0,12
Employees				
Low education evel		0,03	2	0,06
level		-		-
No recruitment system		0.028	1	0.028
System		,		,
Absence of R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive 0,081 4 0,324 community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development Absence of 0,023 1 0,0023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,023 1 0,084 2 0,539 Core		0.026	1	0.026
R&D 0,023 1 0,023 Total Score Weakness 1,084 Total IFE 2,539 EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive 0,081 4 0,324 community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36	*	0,020	-	0,020
Total Score Weakness Total IFE EFE Weight Rating Core Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development 1,084 2,539 Rating Score 0,084 3 0,192 0,324 0,324 0,324 0,261	Absence of	0.023	1	0.023
Total IFE EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development EFE Weight Rating Score 0,084 3 0,192 0,324 0,324 0,324 0,364	R&D	0,023	1	0,023
EFE Weight Rating Score Opportunity Indicator The national economy does not affect umkm Positive community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development Weight Rating Score Rating O,092 0,192 0,324 0,324 0,324 0,3261	Total Score Weal	kness		1,084
Opportunity IndicatorThe national economy does not affect umkm0,06430,192Positive community response0,08140,324Social culture that increases sales0,08730,261Government attention to development0,09040,36	Total IFE	2,539		
Opportunity IndicatorThe national economy does not affect umkm0,06430,192Positive community response0,08140,324Social culture that increases sales0,08730,261Government attention to development0,09040,36	EFE	Weight	Rating	Score
The national economy does not affect umkm Positive 0,081 4 0,324 community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development 0,064 3 0,192 0,087 3 0,324 0,364	Opportunity Indi			
economy does not affect umkm Positive 0,081 4 0,324 community response Social culture 0,087 3 0,261 that increases sales Government attention to development 0,090 4 0,36		1	3	0,192
not affect umkm Positive 0,081 4 0,324 community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development O,087 4 0,36		-,,-		-,
Positive community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development 0,081 4 0,324 0,324 0,261 4 0,36	-			
community response Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development O,087 3 0,261 0,261 4 0,36		0.081	4	0.324
response Social culture 0,087 3 0,261 that increases sales Government 0,090 4 0,36 attention to development		0,001	¬	0,54
Social culture that increases sales Government attention to development O,087 3 0,261 O,087 3 0,261 O,36				
that increases sales Government 0,090 4 0,36 attention to development		0.007	2	0.261
Sales Government 0,090 4 0,36 attention to development		0,08/	3	0,201
Government 0,090 4 0,36 attention to development				
attention to development				
development		0,090	4	0,36
· I I I				
umkm	development			
	I	1		

0.007	2	0.261
0,087	3	0,261
0,081	2	0,162
ortunity		1,560
0,083	2	0,166
0,090	3	0,27
0,106	1	0,106
0,090	2	0,18
0,083	2	0,166
,		
0,079	2	0,158
ĺ		
Total Score Threat		1,136
		2,606
	0,083 0,090 0,106 0,090 0,083	0,081 2 0,081 2

The IE matrix is a matrix that positions the UMKM Klapa Muda in a nine-cell display that shows the state of the UMKM Klapa Muda internal factors obtained from the total IFE at coordinate V with a total score of 2.539, and external factors obtained from the total EFE at coordinate V with a total score of 2.606.

The current position of the UMKM Klapa Muda, can be seen in quadrant V, which can see as a strategy carried out by the UMKM Klapa Muda, such as maintaining, maintaining by penetrating the market and developing products. This can be achieved by a combination of a competitive pricing strategy and more active sales. Meanwhile, UMKM Klapa Muda can increase sales for product development by improving existing wingko products and maintaining their quality. IE matrix analysis can be seen in **Figure 1**.

		Strong 3,00-4,00	Midle 2,00-2,99	Low 1,00-1,99
		I	II	III
	High	Grow and	Grow and thrive	Keep it up
	3,00-4,00	thrive		
Total Score		IV	v	VI
EFE 2,606	Midle	Grow and	Keep it up (Divestment
EFE 2,000		thrive	Market	or Savings
			Penetration and	
			Product	
	_		Development)	
	Low	VII	VIII	IX
	1,00-1,99	Keep it up	Divestment or	Divestment
			Savings	or Savings
		1	I	

Figure 1. Analysis Results Matrix IE

For further analysis using a SWOT matrix with four kinds of strategies that are used to create a new strategy which previously obtained several internal factors and external factors of the UMKM Klapa Muda, are SO (strengths and opportunities), WO strategy (weaknesses and opportunities), ST strategy (strengths and opportunities). treats) and WT (weaknesses and threats) strategies. SWOT matrix analysis can be seen in **Figure 2**.

Internal Factors External Factors	Strength — S 1. Raw material planning UMFM klapa moda production process as needed and of the production process as needed and the production process as needed by the production of the product prices by the product prices commend by all cuffCM own capital by Routine employee payroll DEsperienced in making wingko	Weakness - W 1. There is no written industry history 2. Behan adapt struchter organism 3. No arganizational structure organism 3. No arganizational structure 4. Product defects occur 5. Only produce one type of winglos size 6. Only produce one type of winglos size 7. Marketing sees it still limited 8. Don't have a PIRT license yet 9. Marketing seles on agents 10. Lake of capital owned 11. No financial backeeping 13. Small number of employees 14. Low education level 15. No accruitment system 15. No accruitment system 15. No accruitment system 16. Absence of R&D
Opportunities — O 1. The national economy does not affect	S-O Strategies (\$4,\$6,\$7, O2,O3)	W-O Strategies Maintain relationship with government
umkm	Maintain good relationship with	(W8,O5)
2. Positive community response	consumers	improve the quality of employees (W14,O6)
 Social culture that increases sales 		1
 Government attention to 		
development umkm		
 Assistance in the licensing process umkm 		
6. There is training from the government		
Threats $-\mathbf{T}$	S-T Strategies	W-T Strategies
 Raw material prices are unstable 	Increased promotional activities	Machinery and equipment technology
Initial capital using bank loans	(S4,S6,S7,T4)	development (W4,T3)
 There is no modern technology used 		Increase market reach (W7,W9,T4)
No marketing through social media		Guarantee and improve products
 Competitors who offer lower prices The size of the wingko is just the same 		(W5,W6,T3)
v. The size of the wingko is just the same	I .	1

Figure 2. Analysis Results SWOT

There are four main strategies results of the SWOT matrix analysis, called SO Strategy Establish customer loyalty, ST Strategy Increase promotional activities, WO Strategy Build relationships with the government and improve the quality of employees, WT Strategy Development of machine and equipment technology, Increase market reach, Ensure production quality and improve products. QSPM matrix analysis is used to choose the best alternative strategy that has been obtained from the previous SWOT matrix. From the SWOT matrix analysis, there are seven strategies. The QSPM matrix will analyze which strategies can be prioritized and applied to the UMKM Klapa Muda, which can be seen in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Analysis Results matrix QSPM

Alternative Strategy	Total
Strategy 1 Increase promotional activities	2,401
Strategy 2 Establish customer loyalty	2,386
Strategy 3 Ensure production quality and improve product	2,240
Strategy 4 Build relationships with the government	2,015
Strategy 5 Development of machinery and equipment technology	1,308
Strategy 6 Increase market reach	1,175
Strategy 7 Improving the quality of employees	0,885

From the table above, it can be seen that the priority strategies to be implemented in UMKM Klapa Muda are 1) Increasing promotional activities, 2) Maintaining customer loyalty, 3) Ensuring production quality and improving products, 4) Establishing relationships with the government, 5) Development of machine technology and equipment, 6) Increase market reach, 7) Improve the quality of employees.

Business Model Canvas development uses the results of the previous QSPM analysis obtained from the five highest strategic priorities used as the proposed strategy to improve the UMKM Klapa Muda. Preparation of improvement proposals is carried out with the highest score by matching as follows:

1. Customer Relationship on priority 1 with a value of 2.401, namely Increasing promotional activities. The relationship between producers and consumers can be built by UMKM Klapa Muda through several ways to promote products both from personal and media. UMKM Klapa Muda strategy is to increase sales by promoting through print media such as newspapers, pamphlets, brochures, and social media such as Instagram, Facebook, and so on.

- 2. Customer Segments are listed on 2nd priority with 2,386 values, is Maintaining customer loyalty. Because good relations with consumers create opportunities for UMKM Klapa Muda to support the production and sale of wingko. Consumer satisfaction is the most important thing for the sustainability of UMKM Klapa Muda. The strategy of UMKM Klapa Muda is to increase production and increase consumers through tourists and local snack lovers.
- 3. Value Proposition on the 3rd priority with a value of 2.24 guarantees production quality and improving products. To maintain consumer confidence, UMKM Klapa Muda must maintain and guarantee the quality of its production. But UMKM Klapa Muda also needs to improve their products by making attractive packaging designs and innovating the wingko size, which is currently only one size.
- 4. Channels on the 4th priority with a value of 2.015 are Establishing relationships with the government. UMKM Klapa Muda works together with the government to build distribution channels, communication, and sales can be a liaison through the UMKM Cooperative, which the Lamongan Regency government manages.
- 5. Key Resources on the 5th priority, with a weighted value of 1,308, is machine and equipment technology development. To produce wingko, UMKM Klapa Muda currently uses traditional machines and equipment to be prone to product defects. UMKM Klapa Muda needs to develop modern machines and use ovens that have temperature control.




Figure 3. Development results Business Model Canvas UMKM Klapa Muda 3(2), 292–301.

Cahyawati, A. N. (2017). Analisis Perumusan Strategi di X Travel dengan Metode Qspm. *Journal of Industrial Engineering Management*, 1(2), 35–41.

David, F. R. (2011). Strategic Management. Pearson. Dudin, M. N., Lyasnikov, N. V., Leont'eva, L. S., Reshetov, K. J., & Sidorenko, V. N. (2015). Business model canvas as a basis for the competitive advantage of enterprise structures in the industrial agriculture. Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia, 12(1), 887–894.

Febriatmoko, B., Sugiarto PH, J., & RAHARDJO, S. T. (2015). Meningkatkan Kinerja Bisnis melalui Keunggulan Bersaing Kuliner Khas Semarang (Studi pada Sentra Usaha Mikro Lumpia, Bandeng Presto dan Wingko di Kota Semarang). Diponegoro University.

Ginanti, K. A. I., Wangsaputra, R., & Adriant, I. (2021).**DEMAND CHAIN** MANAGEMENT **PERFORMANCE** ASSESSMENT AND STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT OF VIRGIN COCONUT OIL INDUSTRY CASE STUDY: BUMDES BUMI LESTARI. Journal of Industrial Engineering Management, 50-55.

Guyana, J. (2013). Perumusan strategi bersaing perusahaan yang bergerak dalam industri pelayaran. *Agora*, 1(3), 1026–1115.

Hartatik, H., & Baroto, T. (2017). Strategi pengembangan bisnis dengan metode

4. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

4.1 Conclusions

Results of the analysis strategy to increase the sustainability of the UMKM Wingko of the Klapa Muda Babat industry with IFE, EFE, IE, SWOT, and QSPM obtained the results of 5 priority strategies for market penetration and product development by applying the Business Model Canvas (BMC) concept for the UMKM Klapa Muda Babat strategy, are 1) Customer Relationship Increase promotional activities with a value of 2,401, 2) Customer Segments establish customer loyalty with a value of 2,386, 3) Value Proposition guarantees production quality and improves products with a value of 2.24, 4) Channels Establishes relationships with the government with a value of 2,015, 5) Key Resources Development of machinery and equipment technology with a value of 1,308.

References

Boedianto, L. P. (2015). Strategi pengembangan bisnis pada depot selaris dengan pendekatan business model canvas. *Agora*,

- business model canvas. Jurnal Teknik Industri, 18(2), 113–120.
- Hong, Y. C., & Fauvel, C. (2013). Criticisms, variations and experiences with business model canvas.
- Noya, S., Hidayat, K., & . M. (2014). Perumusan Strategi Pengembangan Industri Kecil Menengah Topeng Malangan. *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.22219/jtiumm.vol15.n o1.19-34
- Osterwalder, A., & Pigneur, Y. (2010). Business model generation: a handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers (Vol. 1). John Wiley & Sons
- Padhil, A., Saleh, A., Nusran, M., Ismail, H., & Aidel, A. (2018). Strategi Pemasaran Kue bagea sebagai Kue Khas Kota palopo dengan Menggunakan Analisis SWOT pada UKM Multi Kue Kota Palopo. *Journal of Industrial Engineering Management*, 3(2), 15–23.
- Rudiyanto, H. (2016). Kajian Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) dan kualitas mutu pada wingko berdasarkan SNI-01-4311-1996. *Jurnal Kesehatan Lingkungan*, 8(2), 148–157.
- Rukka, R. M., Busthanul, N., & Fatonny, N. (2018). Strategi pengembangan bisnis keripik bayam (Amaranthus Hybridus) dengan pendekatan business model kanvas: studi kasus pada CV. OAG di Kota Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan. *Jurnal Sosial Ekonomi Pertanian*, 14(1), 41–54.
- Sukrama, U. (2009). *Aneka Ragam Khas: Jawa Timur* (Vol. 2). PT Sarana Pancakarya Nusa.
- Surjogondokusumo, B. N. (2016). Analisis Model Bisnis Pada Restoran Yung Ho Dengan Menggunakan Business Model Canvas. *Agora*, 4(2), 229–237.
- Tjitradi, E. C. (2015). Evaluasi Dan Perancangan Model Bisnis Berdasarkan Business Model Canvas. *Agora*, 3(1), 8–16.